

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,017	07/25/2000	David LeVine	JMBDP002	7171
24271	7590 09/24	03		
	EXANDER GALE	EXAMINER		
	TNUT WOODS CT TOWN, MD 21136		HAYES, JOHN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/625,017	LEVINE, DAVID	]
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
•	John W Hayes	3621	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears n the cover sheet with th	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a condition under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
_ ` `		and NOTE haloud	
<ul> <li>(a)  they raise new issues that would require further</li> <li>(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be</li> </ul>	,	see NOTE below);	
	,·	riolly roducing or sig	anlifiina tha
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		,	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	<b>&gt;</b> .
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 20</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
0. Other:	/	John W Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	e feo

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 1 and 20 in the after final amendment would require further consideration and/or search since they have not previously been considered. Examiner submits that a thorough search of the prior art has been conducted relating to the subject matter of the claimed invention, however, it would be impossible for the examiner to anticipate future amendments to the claimed invention and conduct a search for any anticipated amendments to the claimed invention. Furthermore, the MPEP clearly states that, once a proper final rejection has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. Amendments that will place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal may be entered (MPEP 714.12). Neither of these cases is true for the present application. The claims are not in condition for allowance since the newly added limitations have not yet been considered or searched. Also, examiner submits that the newly added limitations raise a new issue rather than placing the claims in better form for appeal. The MPEP further states that if an amendment necessitates a new search, raises the issue of new matter, presents additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims, or otherwise introduces new issues, it will not be entered (MPEP 1207). Examiner submits that the additional limitations added to claims 1 and 20 relating to "the report being a sample of the prior use" changes the scope of the claims sufficiently enough as to require a new search and, therefore, the amendment will not be entered. Applicant further states that the amendment should be entered for purposes of appeal. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since the amendments to the claims add additional limitations that have not been previously considered and, therefore, do not place the application in better form for appeal, but rather, raise a new issue. .